S. HRG. 100-440

NOMINATION OF GRANT C. PETERSON

HEARING

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS UNITED STATES SENATE

ONE HUNDREDTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

ON

THE NOMINATION OF GRANT C. PETERSON TO BE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR FOR STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS AND SUPPORT, FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

DECEMBER 1, 1987

Printed for the use of the
Committee on Environment and Public Works

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

81-927 WASHINGTON : 1988

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Sales Office
U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402

S321-24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

QUENTIN N. BURDICK, North Dakota, *Chairman*

DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN, New York
GEORGE J. MITCHELL, Maine
MAX BAUCUS, Montana
FRANK R. LAUTENBERG, New Jersey
JOHN B. BREAUX, Louisiana
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI, Maryland
HARRY REID, Nevada
BOB GRAHAM, Florida

ROBERT T. STAFFORD, Vermont
JOHN H. CHAFEE, Rhode Island
ALAN K. SIMPSON, Wyoming
STEVE SYMMS, Idaho
DAVE DURENBERGER, Minnesota
JOHN W. WARNER, Virginia
LARRY PRESSLER, South Dakota

PETER D. PROWITT, *Staff Director*
BAILEY GUARD, *Minority Staff Director*

CONTENTS

OPENING STATEMENTS

	Page
Burdick, Hon. Quentin N., U.S. Senator from the State of North Dakota	1
Stafford, Hon. Robert T., U.S. Senator from the State of Vermont	3

WITNESSES

Hemstead, Anthony R., representing Hon. Daniel J. Evans, U.S. Senator from the State of Michigan	1
Peterson, Grant C., nominated to be Associate Administrator for State and local programs and support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.	
Statement	3
Letter to Senator Burdick	6
Responses to a committee questionnaire	10

NOMINATION OF GRANT C. PETERSON

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1987

U.S. SENATE,
COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS,
Washington, DC.

The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:19 a.m., in room 406, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Quentin N. Burdick (chairman of the committee) presiding.

Present: Senators Burdick, Durenberger, Breaux, Chafee, and Pressler.

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. QUENTIN N. BURDICK, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Senator BURDICK. The committee will come to order.

Good morning. Today the full Committee on Environment and Public Works meets to receive testimony from a person nominated by President Reagan to an important position.

Mr. Grant C. Peterson has been named to be Associate Director for State and Local Programs and Support of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

In his new capacity, Grant Peterson will be responsible for directing FEMA's programs of support to State and local governments. These programs include planning for response to and mitigation of the effects of natural and man-made disasters and emergencies. In addition to planning, Mr. Peterson will be managing the activities carried out by FEMA under the Disaster Relief Act of 1974, authorized by this committee.

It is my understanding that Senator Evans intended to be here this morning to introduce Mr. Peterson, but he has been detained in his home State until later this week.

Senator Evans has asked that a member of his staff, Mr. Anthony Hemstad, read his introduction of Mr. Peterson into the record today. The committee will be pleased to receive that introduction at this time.

STATEMENT OF ANTHONY R. HEMSTAD, REPRESENTING HON. DANIEL J. EVANS, A U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Mr. HEMSTAD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning. My name is Anthony Hemstad; I'm an aide to Senator Dan Evans. Senator Evans couldn't be here this morning, and I've been asked to read his statement for the record.

"Chairman Burdick, ranking member Stafford, and distinguished members of the Environment and Public Works Committee, I am very pleased to testify in behalf of my friend and colleague Grant Peterson for a position as Associate Director of State and Local Programs and Support for the Federal Emergency Management Agency. I regret that a previous engagement in Washington State necessitates my absence from the hearing today.

"I feel that Grant Peterson is uniquely qualified for this position with FEMA. Through his many years of participation in local and State governments, Grant Peterson has learned how to work with people at all levels of government. He has a proven track record of leadership in implementing programs at the State and local levels.

"Grant served as a Spokane County Commissioner in Washington State for five years. His distinguished service in that position brought accolades from Spokane citizens of both major parties. Peterson was seen as a truly effective and caring leader in his community. The major paper in eastern Washington, the Spokane Spokesman Review, has said of Peterson, 'Peterson, in short, has proven to be an outstanding public official. His efforts have shown leadership, vision, political courage and success. We give Grant Peterson our heartiest endorsement.'

"As County Commissioner, Grant worked closely with Congressman Foley and me in developing programs for the Spokane area. Grant Peterson knows the intricacies of our system and has been an effective force in working with it for many years.

"This nominee has experience not only in politics and government, but in the other areas that FEMA is involved with as well. Grant Peterson witnessed the disruption wreaked on Washington State by the eruption of Mt. St. Helens. This dramatic natural disaster illustrated to Grant the power of nature and the need for timely response to emergency situations that cannot always be foreseen.

"The greatest potential disaster to mankind comes not from volcanos or earthquakes, but rather is man-made: nuclear war. Through Grant's four years of service in the United States Air Force, where he specialized in nuclear weapons, he has an intimate understanding of the awesome destructive power of nuclear weapons and the implications the use of those weapons would have on modern civilization.

"Currently, Grant is working as a consultant to FEMA. He is recognized as a man with expertise in his field and possesses the leadership and will to create and carry out innovative programs.

"Grant's background in public affairs and his warm, sensible personality make him highly qualified to fill this position with the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Grant Peterson has my wholehearted support for this nomination, and I trust you will give his case positive consideration."

Seanator BURDICK. We have a statement from Senator Stafford which will be made a part of the record at this point.

[Senator Stafford's statement follows:]

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ROBERT T. STAFFORD, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF VERMONT

I would also like to welcome Mr. Grant Peterson who has been nominated to be the Associate Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency for State and Local Programs and Support. In that capacity, Mr. Peterson would have responsibility for directing roughly three-quarters of FEMA's non-classified programs.

The Federal government's disaster relief efforts—administered under authority of the Diaster Relief Act of 1974—would come under his jurisdiction.

Also, under Superfund, FEMA is authorized to provide temporary evacuation and housing of individuals threatened by hazardous materials, and to provide the permanent relocation of residents, businesses and community facilities whenever EPA identifies a site where relocation assistance is necessary.

In the area of radiological emergency preparedness, FEMA is charged with lead Federal responsibility in offsite emergency planning for commercial nuclear power plants. In addition, under Mr. Peterson's leadership, FEMA would have responsibility for providing assistance, coordination and other support to State and local governments which are to develop and maintain plans for emergency response in event of an accident at a commercial nuclear plant. Under the Nuclear Regulator Commission authorization, prior to licensing a commercial nuclear power plant, state and local governments within 50 miles are required to develop and maintain such plans.

Mr. Peterson would also be charged with responsibility for FEMA programs of dam safety, and of hurricane preparedness.

These are all important programs that fall within the jurisdictional interest of our Committee. Other important programs that are not within our immediate Committee jurisdiction should not, however, be overlooked. These include the Civil Defense Programs, the Emergency Food and Shelter Program, and the Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program.

All of these activities fall under the stewardship of the Associate Director for State and Local Programs and Support in FEMA. It is an important position, and I am pleased to participate in this hearing and hear the testimony of Mr. Peterson.

Senator BURDICK. Mr. Peterson, we will now hear from you.

STATEMENT OF GRANT C. PETERSON, NOMINEE TO BE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS AND SUPPORT, FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Mr. PETERSON. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. I want to especially thank those Senators that have made time for me to visit with them prior to arriving here today, and I am very appreciative of that.

I am Grant Peterson and I was, on September 15th, 1987, nominated by the President to fill the position of Associate Director for State and Local Programs and Support of the Federal Emergency Management Agency. I am truly honored to be nominated to this position and I am equally honored to be before you today.

The responsibilities of this Directorate are diverse and deal with complex national issues, all of which have a central theme of increasing the capacity of State and local governments to protect the American people from natural and technological hazards. The programs for which I will be responsible include the President's Disaster Relief Program, a program that this committee knows very well. FEMA, in support of State and local governments, coordinates the Federal recovery efforts and provides supplemental assistance. This assistance is provided to individuals for their homes and personal property and to governmental agencies to clean up and rebuild publicly-owned facilities. I would like to point out at this time that it is my belief that the intent of the Disaster Relief Act as it is currently written should not apply to situations other than natural

disasters. I will recommend declarations under the act consistent with that interpretation.

Another area of major importance and concern of this committee is the area of radiological emergency preparedness. FEMA was assigned the lead in offsite emergency planning for nuclear power plants by the President on December 7, 1979. Subsequently, in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission authorization in Public Law 96-295 and Public Law 97-415, FEMA was assigned a role in offsite radiological preparedness in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's licensing of commercial power plants. FEMA's responsibilities include the development of standards and guidance for emergency response, providing technical assistance in developing plans, and evaluating demonstrations of response to those plans. In addition, FEMA is responsible for coordinating the planning for Federal support to the State and local governments in the event of an accident.

In addition to working with State and local governments in the area of radiological emergency preparedness, it is important to mention that this Directorate works closely with State and local governments to upgrade their preparedness in dealing with all technological and natural hazards.

A final area of major importance is civil defense. It should be noted that since you last held hearings for this Associate Directorship, the civil defense responsibilities have been consolidated under the State and Local Programs and Support Program Directorate. This program is authorized by the Armed Services Committee.

In speaking to my personal qualifications and expertise, I note that you have received my biographical sketch and statement of qualifications, but I do feel it important to emphasize a few points.

From January, 1982, to January, 1987 I served as County Commissioner for Spokane County in Washington State. During my tenure in addition to budgeting and administrative responsibilities I worked diligently with EPA and the State Department of Ecology on several issues that would be of interest to this committee, including successfully developing plans and implementing a program that would ensure no degradation of Spokane's sole-source aquifer and mitigating the impacts of contaminated landfills.

I mention these points because I believe that holding an elected position at a local level has left me with a clear understanding of many State, local and city government problems and issues. Because of this experience I have the credibility and sensitivities to interact effectively with representatives of State and local government. Having served as an elected official has also sensitized me to the needs of other elected representatives, and I feel that an important component of this position is to work closely with Members of Congress.

In addition, my Air Force training and mission provides me with a clear understanding of nuclear weapons and their potential effects. My 15 years as a small businessman will in this position serve me well in dealing with individuals in the business and professional world.

In closing, I will make myself available to appear before any committee of Congress that invites me as a witness, and I am enthusiastically looking forward to working with you in fulfilling the challenging responsibilities of this position.

I wish to thank you once again for the honor of appearing before you.

Senator BURDICK. As you may know, Mr. Peterson, several times in recent years this committee has reported out and the Senate has passed legislation instituting reforms in the Disaster Relief Act. The House has never acted on the legislation. This year, however, the House Committee on Public Works and Transportation has been working on similar legislation.

Do you believe the reforms contemplated in these bills are desirable, and are you aware of what these reforms are?

Mr. PETERSON. Mr. Chairman, I am not aware of all of the intricacies of the new reforms. I believe that the bill that is presently in place has served this country and this agency well, but I also know that there are areas of improvement that have been requested and have been worked on for quite some time, and I once again would say to you that I am looking forward to working closely with you in support of the new legislation.

Senator BURDICK. Thank you.

Mr. Peterson, I met with you in my office last month. We had a discussion on the proposed changes in the allocation of funds to State and local governments under the Emergency Management Assistance Program. Under the proposed allocation formula, North Dakota is projected to lose more money than any other State. I raised questions about this. This morning I received a letter from you which reassures me somewhat. I am glad that nine other States have opposed the reallocation in its present form.

I ask unanimous consent that your letter be made a part of the record of this hearing.

[The letter referred to follows:]

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

November 30, 1987

The Honorable Quentin N. Burdick
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Burdick:

I wish to express my appreciation to you for taking the time to visit with me concerning my confirmation and the Emergency Management Assistance (EMA) issue.

You requested that I research comments that have been received from the States relevant to the EMA proposed change in formula.

The first question asked was did North Dakota respond in writing to the proposed rule change. The answer is that North Dakota did not respond to the proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register of August 26, 1987. However, we are treating the letter of October 26, 1987 from Ronald D. Aftedt, who is the State Director of North Dakota, to you as the State's official position.

The second question asked was has North Dakota always received their promised amount of EMA funds. The answer is that North Dakota has received all available and promised funds with the exception that their allocation was reduced by 4.3% in FY 1986. This was true for all States and was driven by the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Amendment.

The third question was has North Dakota ever lost money because of a lack of performance. The answer is that I cannot find any instance where this is the case.

There are two other areas relevant to this issue. There were thirteen States that responded to the proposed rulemaking. Ten of the responding States opposed the rule, while three favored the change.

Those States opposing the rule change are: Hawaii, Minnesota, Nevada, South Carolina, Montana, Florida, Wisconsin, Wyoming and North Dakota.

Those favoring the rulemaking are: Colorado, Georgia, and Michigan.

In addition, the proposed rule <u>if adopted</u> would not be implemented until FY 1989 and if this Agency receives its requested funding level of $57.4 million, then no State would receive funding below their 1988 allocation.

I am hopeful that this letter adequately responds to your concerns.

Respectfully,

Grant C. Peterson

Senator BURDICK. If you are confirmed by the Senate, will you give your personal attention to this proposed change to be sure that the State of North Dakota and any other State would not be unfairly penalized under the new formula?

Mr. PETERSON. Yes, Senator Burdick. I made that commitment to you in letter form; it will be submitted. The last paragraph states that:

> In addition, the proposed rule, if adopted, would not be implemented until fiscal year 1989, and if this agency receives its requested funding level of $57.4 million, then no State would receive funding below their 1988 allocation.

And that's a commitment to you, sir.

Senator BURDICK. Thank you very much.

Senator Breaux.

Senator BREAUX. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Mr. Peterson, for being here. We didn't have a chance to meet, did we?

Mr. PETERSON. No, we did not, sir. I think your schedule was very, very tight.

Senator BREAUX. I apologize for that. I wish we had had a chance to talk.

I want to talk with you about emergency planning, dealing with emergency evacuation plans for nuclear powerplants. That's something that would come under your jurisdiction with regard to FEMA.

Mr. PETERSON. Yes, sir.

Senator BREAUX. One of the things that I would ask you now is that the NRC, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, has recently amended their rules and regulations regarding emergency planning to provide for criteria for a utility in an area to come up with an emergency plan themselves if in fact State and local governments do not desire to participate in developing that plan.

On the other hand FEMA, as I understand it, has not changed the criteria that FEMA has with regard to evaluating these plans. I understand that the utility plan has really been on the books since the early 1980's, but I don't think that FEMA has changed their criteria to allow for the consideration of a utility plan where a State or local government refuses to participate in developing that plan. Therefore we have a serious problem, one that you're going to be faced with immediately upon assuming your office.

My initial question is, what are your views with regard to FEMA developing criteria to allow for the consideration of the utility plan for emergency evacuation in the event of a nuclear powerplant accident where the State and local people do not participate in the development of that plan?

Mr. PETERSON. Senator Breaux, it's my understanding that there has been a joint effort ongoing between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and FEMA to develop evaluation criteria in reviewing utility-sponsored offsite emergency response plans, and I believe that's to be published directly, in the next few days. That's my understanding.

It is also my understanding that this agency works in a consultant form for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission defines the threat. In speaking with

my General Counsel I have been assured that that is the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and that they have developed several criteria for the utility-sponsored offsite emergency response plan. I think that's the thrust of your question, sir. Some of those criteria that are three in nature that I would like to relate to you today, that have been presented by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission are, one, that State and local officials will exercise their best efforts to protect the health and safety of the public; two, that they will cooperate with the utility and follow the utility offsite plan; and three, that they have resources sufficient to implement those portions of the utility offsite plan where State and local response is necessary.

It is my understanding that those three assumptions are the assumptions that FEMA would be directed to take into account for this evaluation.

Senator BREAUX. You've been a local official, I take it, as a County Commissioner in Washington, so you have a particular expertise with regard to the role of local government. Do you agree with the criteria that you just set out that are coming from the NRC? Do you think they are realistic? Do you have any problems with the criteria that they have put out that would become the criteria, I take it, of FEMA?

Mr. PETERSON. I would like to respond to this issue in two ways. One, I would reemphasize to you that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, to my understanding, has the authority to establish these criteria, and that it would be our responsibility as FEMA to respond in relationship to those assumptions.

Speaking personally as an elected official at the area of local government for five years, I would frame my response in the following manner.

First, it has been my experience in dealing with any form of crisis or disaster that threatens the health, safety and welfare of the constituents, that local elected officials— and indeed, all elected officials—respond to the maximum level that they can to ensure that safety. I remember the first statement of my oath, and it was that I shall protect the health, safety and welfare of my citizens, and I think that is the charge that transcends the responsibility of all elected officials, whether they are County Commissioners or State officials.

So it is my belief that State and local government would respond to the very best of their ability to ensure the safety of their citizens, sir.

Senator BREAUX. I appreciate that response.

Let me ask you one other final question. FEMA has been willing to review plans that were developed by the utilities, in order to provide for a safe emergency evacuation. My question is, do you think FEMA should be willing to extend to the utilities the same informal, expert, professional advice and suggestions in developing that plan when the utilities are developing it that FEMA would normally extend to State and local governments if they were developing the plan?

Mr. PETERSON. It's my opinion that we are charged with the intent of providing technical assistance in specific terms to the State and local governments, which we would like to do. But it ap-

pears that the NRC has published a rule of intent that they will evaluate the utilities, and in the name of safety and in the name of providing technical expertise and to clarify criteria and then to evaluate the programs, that we should, in the name of serving our public, provide the expertise that we have available to the responsible agent.

Senator BREAUX. I guess what you are saying is that you would support FEMA working with the utilities to the extent that you would work with State and local governments to come up with a safe evacuation plan?

Mr. PETERSON. Yes. The only thing I would caveat that with, sir, is that that is my personal opinion at this point in time where I have no authority to assume a policy position, and I would like the latitude to make sure that I am on solid legal footing in dealing with the issue in that manner, and that would mean that I would take counsel.

Senator BREAUX. That's understood. You would not be able to take a position of doing something that you were not allowed to do by the law, of course, and I appreciate both responses. I think that's a good attitude.

I wish you very well.

Mr. PETERSON. Thank you very much, sir.

Senator BREAUX. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator BURDICK. I want to thank you, Mr. Peterson, for your testimony. The committee will vote on your nomination at the next full committee business meeting, which will probably be some time at the end of this month.

Without objection, the hearing record will remain open for 30 days for submission of written questions and responses.

The committee stands adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 11:22 a.m., the committee was adjourned, to reconvene at the call of the Chair.]

[Response to a committee questionnaire follows:]

UNITED STATES SENATE

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

STATEMENT FOR COMPLETION BY PRESIDENTIAL NOMINEES

Name: Peterson (Last) Grant (First) Calvin (Middle)

Position to which nominated: Associate Director, State & Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Date of Nomination: Sept. 15, 1987

Date of birth: 21/April/40 (Day) (Month) (Year)

Place of birth: Whitehall, Montana

Marital status: Yes

Full name of spouse: Nancy Rae Peterson

Name and ages of children:

Renate Marie Peterson	21
Dale James Peterson	17

Education:

Institution	Dates attended	Degrees received	Dates of degrees
Eastern Washington University	1971-1974	B.S./Psychology	Aug. 1974
Spokane Comm. College Spokane Falls Comm. College	1967-1971		
Lowry AFB, USAF Weapons Systems School	1962	(Graduate)	Oct. 1962
Central Valley High	1955-1958	(Graduate)	1958
Pines Junior High	1953-1955	(Graduate)	1955

Employment record: List all positions held since college, including the title and description of job, name of employer, location, and dates. If you were terminated involuntarily from any position(s), please note the circumstances.

(Consultant , FEMA current)

Jan. 82-Jan.87 County Commissioner, Spokane County, Washington State

Jun. 79-Dec.79 Republican National Committee (4-State Coordinator)

Aug. 71-Apr.83 Town and Country T.V., Inc., President-General Manager

Jan. 69-May 71 G&G T.V., Inc., Vice President (Partner)

Employment record—Continued

1967 thru 1968 General Motors Acceptance Corporation - Telephone Collection

Dec. 66-Mar.67 Early Dawn Dairy - Laborer

Jan.62-Oct.66 United States Air Force - Nuclear Weapons (4 years in Europe)

1964-Oct.66 European Exchange (Part Time)

1953-Oct.61 Early Dawn Dairy - Veradale, WA. - Laborer

Honors and awards: List significant scholarships, fellowships, honorary degrees, military medals, honorary society memberships, and any other special recognitions for outstanding service or achievement.

Spokane Valley Chamber of Commerce First Presidents Award (This award was given for outstanding work to protect the sole source aquifer & Envir.)

Washington State Innkeepers Meritorious Award & Outstanding Government Awar

Community Mental Health OUtstanding Service Certificates

The American Legion Evergreen Boys State Certificate of Appreciation

Cheney Chamber of Commerce Outstanding President Award

USAF Lowry AFB Outstanding Student Award, Sept. 1961.

Memberships: List significant memberships and offices held in professional, fraternal, business, scholarly, civic, charitable and other organizations.

Organization	Office held (if any)	Dates
Spokane Transit Authority	Chairman & Brd. Member	1981 thru 1986
Spokane Co. Air Pollution Bd.	Chairman & Board Member	1981 thru 1986
Spokane County Health Board	Chairman & Board Member	1982 - 1987
Spokane Convention & Vis. Bur.	Executive Committee	1983 - 1987
Federal Bureau of Land Management	Board Member	1983 - 1986
Cheney Chamber of Commerce	President, VP, etc.	1976
Cheney Planning Commission 2	Chairman 2 years	1975 - 1981

Qualifications: State fully your qualifications to serve in the position to which you have been named.

SEE ATTACHED

Future employment relationships:

1. Indicate whether you will sever all connections with your present employer, business firm, association or organization if you are confirmed by the Senate.

SOLD MY BUSINESS IN 1983

2. As far as can be foreseen, state whether you have any plans after completing government service to resume employment, affiliation or practice with your current or any previous employer, business firm, association or organization.

NO

3. Has anybody made a commitment to you for a job after you leave government?

NO

4. (a) If you have been appointed for a fixed term, do you expect to serve the full term?

YES

(b) If you have been appointed for an indefinite term, do you have any known limitations on your willingness or ability to serve for the foreseeable future?

No Limitations

(c) If you have previously held any Schedule C or other appointive position in the Executive branch, irrespective of whether the position required Congressional confirmation, please state the circumstances of your departure and its timing.

None

Financial Statement:

1. Attach a copy of your Executive Personnel Financial Disclosure Report (SF 278).

2. List sources, amounts and dates of all anticipated receipts from deferred income arrangements, stock options, uncompleted contracts and other future benefits which you expect to derive from previous business relationships, professional services and firm memberships or from former employers, clients, and customers. Amounts should be indicated by the categories established for reporting income on Form SF 278, Schedule A.

None

3. Are any assets pledged? (Add schedule).

Personal Property on Loans

4. Are you currently a party to any legal action?

No

5. Have you filed a Federal income tax return for each of the last 10 years? If not, please explain the circumstances.

Yes

6. Has the Internal Revenue Service ever audited your Federal tax return? If so, what resulted from the audit?

NEVER AUDITED

Potential conflicts of interest:

1. Describe any financial or deferred compensation agreements or other continuing dealings with business associates, clients or customers who will be affected by policies which you will influence in the position to which you have been nominated.

NONE

2. List any investments, obligations, liabilities, or other relationships which might involve potential conflicts of interest, or the appearance of conflicts of interest, with the position to which you have been nominated.

NONE

3. Describe any business relationship, dealing or financial transaction (other than taxpaying) which you have had during the last 10 years with the Federal Government, whether for yourself or relatives, on behalf of a client, or acting as an agent, that might in any way constitute or result in a possible conflict of interest, or an appearance of conflict of interest, with the position to which you have been nominated.

NONE

4. Explain how you will resolve any potential conflict of interest, or appearance of a conflict of interest, that may be disclosed by your responses to the above items.

NONE

5. Explain how you will comply with conflict of interest laws and regulations applicable to the position for which you have been nominated. Attach a statement from the appropriate agency official indicating what those laws and regulations are and how you will comply with them. For this purpose, you may utilize a statement by the relevant agency Ethics Officer.

I have been briefed relevant to this issue by the chief General Counsel for FEMA.

SEE ATTACHED

Political affiliation and activities: List all memberships and offices held in, or financial contributions (in excess of $1,000), and services rendered to any political party or election committee during the last 10 years.

SEE ATTACHED

Published writings: List the titles, publishers and dates of any books, articles, or reports you have written. (Please list first any publications and/or speeches that involve environmental or related matters.)

During my tenure as Spokane County Commissioner, numerous speeches and interviews were given specific to the importance of protecting the Spokane Sole Source Aquifer and the need to and justification for sewering the Spokane Valley. Testimony was also given before House Committee on Clean Water Act.

Additional Matters:

1. If there is any additional information which you believe may be pertinent to the Members of the Committee in reaching their decisions, you may include that here.

SEE ATTACHED (Editorial)

2. Do you agree to appear before all Congressional Committees which seek your testimony?

YES

3. Having completed this form, are there any additional questions which you believe the Committee should ask of future nominees?

AFFIDAVIT

Frank Carlucci) ss, being duly sworn, hereby states that he/she has read and signed the foregoing Statement for Completion by Presidential Nominees including the Financial Statement and that the information provided therein is, to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, current, accurate, and complete.

Frank Carlucci

Subscribed and sworn before me this 3rd day of November, 1987.

Valerie Broyer-Hayden
Notary Public

My Commission Expires November 30, 1991

NOMINEE'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

The position of Associate Director for State and Local Programs and Support requires an understanding of state and local issues and government structure.

From January 1982 through December 1986, I served as County Commissioner for Spokane County, Washington State (population approx. 400,000). During my tenure as Commissioner, in addition to budgetary and administrative responsibilities I was actively involved in working with Super Fund, EPA, and Washington State Department of Ecology relevant to the mitigation of contaminated land fills. One of the major commitments during my term was to ensure that Spokane's Sole Source Aquifer was not further degradated. This required the creation and construction of a complete sewer system for Spokane Valley. I was also actively involved in the upgrading of our emergency operations and response capabilities for natural, technological and man-made disasters. Having lived through the Mt. St. Helens disaster, I have a personal understanding of the need for an effective emergency response and disaster relief program with abilities that emphasize uniform and consistent response capabilities that can be implemented in a fair and timely manner.

I believe that holding an elected position at a local level has left me with a clear understanding of many of the state, local and city governments' problems and issues. Because of this experience, I have the credibility and sensitivities to interact effectively with representatives of state and local governments. Having served as an elected official has also sensitised me to the needs of other elected representatives and I feel that an important component of this position is to work closely with the Members of Congress.

In addition, I feel that my experience as a small businessman will serve well in dealings with individuals in the business and professional world. I have technical experience in electronics and communications equipment and because of my Air Force training and mission have a clear understanding of nuclear weapons, their use and potential effects.

This background experience and sensitivities will be of considerable help in meeting the many challenges of this position.

I am truly honored to appear before you and to be considered for this appointment.

With respect,

Grant Peterson

I, Spence W. Perry, General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, do hereby state that I conducted an Ethics Briefing for Grant C. Peterson on July 2, 1987.

I briefed Grant C. Peterson on the responsibilities imposed upon him by FEMA's standards of conduct regulations.

Grant C. Peterson was made aware of his positive duty to become acquainted with the numerous authorities relating to the ethical and other conduct of FEMA employees.

I have reviewed Mr. Peterson's financial disclosure statement (Standard Form 278) and his statement of professional qualifications and find no impediment to his assumption of the office for which he has been nominated. 44 C.F.R. § 3.6.

Signature

Date

I, Grant W. Peterson, do hereby state that I attended an Ethics Briefing given by Spence W. Perry, General Counsel, on July 2, 1987.

I understand the responsibilities imposed upon me by FEMA's standards of conduct regulations.

I also am aware of my positive duty to become acquainted with the numerous authorities relating to the ethical and other conduct of FEMA employees. 44 C.F.R. § 3.6.

Grant Peterson — July 2 1987

Signature — Date

POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

1985	State Chairman of the Presidential Inaugural Committee.
1980-1986	Elected Vice-Chairman for the Washington State Republican Party.
1984	Washington State Chairman for the Re-Election of President Reagan and Vice-President Bush. Responsible to develop a strategy and plan of attack for victory in the State of Washington, to hire executive directors and personnel related to the campaign, to develop a volunteer organization that, along with the professional staff, would deliver the state.
1984	Washington State Chairman for the Delegation to the Republican National Convention, Dallas, Texas.
1984	Speaker for 21 Washington State Republican County Conventions on behalf of Reagan-Bush '84.
1984	Member of 13 Western States Executive Committee.
1983	Appointed to Bureau of Land Management by James Watt. Reaffirmed in 1984. (Reappointed by Secretary Hodel.)
1982	Elected Spokane County Commissioner.
1980-1982	Elected Chairman of Spokane County Republican Central Committee. Spokane County contains 318 precincts and 160,000 registered voters.
1979-1980	Regional Director for the Republican National Committee Commitment '80 Program. Hired by Bill Brock, RNC and supervised by Drew Lewis and Andy Carter. Covered Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Montana.
1980	elected to cast the electoral vote for the east side of the state for President Ronald Reagan.
1968-1986	Precinct Committeeman.